

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/554,984	05/23/2000	Daniel H. Maes	2870/287	1797
75	590 05/19/2003			
KAREN A. LOWNEY, ESQ.			EXAMINER	
125 PINELAW			PRYOR, ALTON	NATHANIEL
MELVILLE, N	Y 11747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	10
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/554,984

Applicant(s)

Examiner Alton Prvor

Art Unit 1616

Maes et al

ECREC STATE DE DEVANT FAREDE EN EMERE ESTADE	

-- The MAILING DATE f this communication appears n the c ver she t with th c rresp ndence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Feb 6, 2003* 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 2-4, 6-13, 15-26, and 28-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) U Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected. 7) U Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) X Claims 2-4, 6-13, 15-26, and 28-36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) \( \subseteq \text{ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.} \) 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:

## Election Requirement

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Numerous topical compositions / methods.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, a composition / method comprising a protease inhibitor and cell differentiation enhancer is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected (Applicant must elect a specifically named or completely defined protease inhibitor and a specifically named or completely defined cell differentiation enhancer. If Applicant desires additional components such as tanning agent(s), Examiner is requesting that Applicant specifically name or completely define all additional components. If additional components are not specifically named or completely defined by the Applicant, Examiner will classify claims comprising those additional components as non-elected claims.) consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 09554984 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CAR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).

## Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor ALTON N. PRYOR PRIMARY EXAMINED

Primary Examiner, AU 1616

5/8/03